

Delirium and anticholinergic burden in older patients – diagnosis, causes and treatment



Dr. sc. nat. Monika Lutters Head of Hospital Pharmacy Cantonal Hospital Aarau, Switzerland



Kantonsspital Aarau





### Delirium – a common adverse drug event



Increased risk of institutionalization <sup>1,4</sup> Longer and costlier hospitalizations <sup>1</sup>

- 1. Siddiqui et al., Age and Aging 2006
- 2. De la Cruz et al., Supp cancer care 2015
- 3. Ogawa et al., PLoS ONE 2017
- Witlox et al., JAMA, 2010 Picture: www.freepik.com
- => High burden to the health care system

Kantonsspital Aarau

### iu 🕤

3

### Diagnostic Criteria of Delirium (DSM-5)

- A disturbance in attention (ie, reduced ability to direct, focus, sustain, and shift attention) and awareness (reduced orientation to the environment).
- The disturbance develops over a short period of time (usually hours to a few days), represents a change from baseline attention and awareness, and tends to fluctuate in severity during the course of a day.
- An additional disturbance in cognition (eg, memory deficit, disorientation, language, visuospatial ability, or perception).
- 4. The disturbances in criteria A and C are not better explained by another preexisting, established, or evolving neurocognitive disorder and do not occur in the context of a severely reduced level of arousal, such as coma.
- 5. There is evidence from the history, physical examination, or laboratory findings that the disturbance is a direct physiological consequence of another medical condition, substance intoxication or withdrawal (ie, due to a drug of abuse or to a medication), or exposure to a toxin, or is due to multiple etiologies

Abbreviation: DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (Fifth Edition).

Pervin F. et al. American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias 2016, Vol. 31(1):5-17

#### Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) Stori form Instruments The diagnosis of delirium by CAM requires the presence of BOTH features A and B is there evidence of an acute change in mental status from patient basefine? Acute onset Does the abriomal behavior. and come and go? fluctuate during the day? **Fluctuating course** increase/decrease in severity? > 40 tools Method в. Oces the patient base difficulty focusing Mention? became easily distructed? have difficulty keeping track of what is set?? Inationion Best validated non ICU tools 1 Confusion Assessment ≥ • CAM AND the presence of EITHER feature C or D 4 DOSS Ē. is the patient's trinking Disorganized disorganized DRS-R-98 C thinking incoherent For example does the petient have rambling speech/instevent conversation? MDAS unpredictable switching of subjects? unclear or Rogical flow of ideas? D Overall, what is the patient's level of Altered level of ooneclouanees: aliert (normal) consciousness vigilant (hyper-alieff) lethargic (drowsy but easily roused) stuporous (difficult to rouse) 4 comatose (unrousable) Adapted with permission free, income SK, vanDynk CH, Alceol CA, Bakon S, Segal AP, Honvetz RL, Cashying confusion: The Containon Assessment Method. A new Hothod for detection of behindrin Arm Intern Method. 1990, 113: 201-204. Containon Assessment Method. Training Manual and Godog Gaida. Copyright & 2003. Hospital Editer Life Program, LLC. 1. Helfand et al., J Am Geriatr Soc 2021; 69(2):547-555 ne see the CAM Training Mensel, available at News hostinkide Reprosent costmuterium declarate deprovement/01.00.00 https://www.criticalcarepractitioner.co.uk/delirium-critical-care/confusion-assessment-method-cam/ 5

### DOSS

- $\Rightarrow$  screening instrument
- $\Rightarrow$  brief rating with minimal training
- $\Rightarrow$  for nurses
- ⇒ confirmation by experienced clinician (=> CAM)
- $\Rightarrow$  can be implemented in the CIS:

Vitalparameter			
Dis. 6dm. ck	Inhate sinhe Verondhungen		115/23
Pare	intelle pietre Verondrungen.		COD X
Temperatur	whether events Verorchungen	- 12	XXX
02-SHigkry	mhalls owne Verordrangen		XX
Verlauteparam.			
Genicht	Chrisitägich		
Eberwachung Stu.			
Laborparameter			
Silanatanang		26	
Trinkmange			
Tolbianz	Ale 24 585 (10.00), Trivé	14	
Scores		~	
DOS (Detrum Db.	gers. Zeitnier (00:00, 88.0.,		- 150
TRS (Numbers) R.	Alle 7 Tg ; soler: Put + 1		
VAS-Peleacore ril.	male acts Verardrangen		1921
Metikametrie		~	
Antocipin Magna .	0+0-1+0 Tabi p.o.	- 6.	L Tak
Automation Plant.	0-0-1-0 Tabiput	14.	1 Tak

Pakeet Delaik islate date to comp	-		<b>T</b> 1.		~~	-		0.00			
Name.			1.00	υ	US.	(De	BIILLI	um			
People No.		- 14	Ob	ser	vati	ion	Sc	nee	nin	a) \$	ica
(vill		-	Phone	10 co	-	nni	ce de	ily.		91.	
Never - The described hamavisur	Dete	Detei					Date	Date			
wels nit observeni.	Time	point 5	40	Time	point 2	-	Time	passer 5.	-	Tempaird 2	
Basediments - The characterial behaviour designs when desawed rance, or a few larves, or all the time. Distances of a few larves, or all the time assess or a during the measurement assess or a during the measurement and research results download the observe time absorber to proceeding the larves as	Title			Three			time			2006	
	1	Sursettman - abarap	when / with	1	Secolous - abury	unkown / unsbk	Neith	Autoritytes -	underen 7 werdte	-	design in the second second
<ol> <li>Doved duting convertation or autivities</li> </ol>	0	X.		ò	1	1	0	1		0	t
2 To easily cligitated by blimuli from the advancement	0	1		0	1		0	1			1
<ol> <li>Maritatra atlanticit ti prevenadori a octori</li> </ol>	1	.0	-	1	D	-	1	a	-	8	1
<ul> <li>Obes net finish sametime in adment</li> </ul>	0	1.1		Ó.	1	-	a	1			t.
<ol> <li>Closes answers that do rel: If the gasefice</li> </ol>	a	.1		0	1		0	Т.	1.00	.0	1
5. Pleasts slowly to instructions	U.	1	-	0	1	1.	10	1	-	0	1
7 Trefes to be kominaliant etcal	0	1		ū	1		0	1		0	1
8 Norme which peet of the dop if is	1	0		1	D	-	10	0		1	0
3. Renembers occur event	1	0	1	Ť.	D	1.	1	0	1	1.	0
18 to patienty, resonantly, realized	0	1		0	1		0	1		0	1
11 Puls IV falses, lewing falses, software etc.	Ū.	1	-	a	1	- 1	q	Ŧ		0	1
13 to easily or soldlerly ensurement	0	1		0	1	+	0	1	1.4	.0.	t
12 Sans I hears things which one patchers	a	1		- 11	1	-	0	+		0	1
Handth Gare Professional (HCP) to	com	plantes the		Hot. /	4000781	10.0	1010	1.4.06/6	Real P	(married	
Total Scare											
ts a définition indicated?	783	12 14	614	19	11 N	61	161	Q. N	-	785	14 18
Character of 1875				1 · · ·	1.1.1.1.1			212.7	1.0.1.0	6	

#### Kantonsspital Aarau



6.0

### **Tools for ICUs**

- CAM-ICU
- ICDSC (Intensiv Care Delirium Screening Checklist)

Checklist Item	Description					
Altered level of consciousr	ness#					
A	No response					
В	Response to intense and repeated stimulation					
с	Response to mild or moderate stimulation					
D	Normal wakefulness					
E	Exaggerated response to normal stimulation					
Inattentiveness	Difficulty following instructions or easily distracted					
Disorientation	To time, place, or person					
Hallucination-delusion- psychosis	Clinical manifestation or suggestive behavior					
Psychomotor agitation or retardation	Agitation requiring use of drugs or restraints, or slowing					
Inappropriate speech or mood	Related to events or situation, or incoherent speech					
Sleep/wake cycle disturbance	Sleeping <4 hours/day, waking at night, sleeping all day					
Symptom fluctuation	Symptoms above occurring intermittently					
Total score	0 to 8 7					





### Delirium: A multifactorial syndrome



Savaskan / Haseman, Leitline Delir, 2007
 Khan et al., JAGS, 2011



### **Risk factors for delirium**

Predisposing (non-modifiable)	Precipitating (potentially modifiable)				
Demographic characteristics					
<ul> <li>Age &gt; 65 years old, male sex</li> </ul>	Drugs				
Cognitive status	- Sedative hypnotics, anticholinergics, narcotics,				
- Dementia	benzodiazepines, drug and alcohol withdrawal				
Functional status	Intercurrent Illness				
- Immobility, history of falls	- Infection, dehydration, trauma, shock, metabolic				
Sensory impairment	abnormalities, anemia, iatrogenic complications,				
- Visual, hearing	malnutrition				
Drugs	Surgery				
<ul> <li>Alcohol abuse</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Orthopedic, cardiac, noncardiac</li> </ul>				
- Polypharmacy	Acute neurologic diseases				
Comorbid conditions	<ul> <li>Stroke, meningitis, hemorrhage</li> </ul>				
- Severe illness, stroke, neurologic disease, trauma, chronic	Environmental				
kidney and liver disease	- ICU admission, pain, physical restraints, catheters,				
Decreased oral intake	emotional distress, sustained sleep deprivation				
- Dehydration, malnutrition					

9



Inouye SK, Charpentier PA. JAMA. 1996 Mar 20;275(11):852-7



11

Kantonsspital Aarau

### u 😪

### Anticholinergic Drug Burden

How to determine the ACH burden in a patient?

- serum radioreceptor anticholinergic activity assay (SAA)
- expert-based list of medications with ACH properties

#### => Anticholinergic Burden Scales

Drugs (A tota	s on the Anticholinergic Burder al ACB scale score of three or mo	n (ACB) scale ore is considered clinically relevan	nt)
	ACB Score 1 (mild)	ACB Score 2 (moderate)	ACB Score 3 (severe)
	Alimemazine	Amantadine	Amitriptyline
	Alprazolam	Belladonna alkaloids	Amoxapine
	Alverine	Carbamazepine	Atropine
	Atenolol	Cyclobenzapr <u>ine</u>	Benztropine
	Beclometasone dipropionate	Cyproheptadine	Chlorpheniramine
	Bupropion hydrochloride	Loxapine	Chlorpromazine
	Captopril	Meperidine	Clemastine
	Chlorthalidone	Methotrimeprazine	Clomipramine
	Cimetidine hydrochloride	Molindone	Clozapine
	Clorazepate	Oxcarbazepine	Darifenacin
	Codeine	Pethidine hydrochloride	Desipramine



Results of validation studies





#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Evaluation of the association of anticholinergic burden and delirium in older hospitalised patients – A cohort study comparing 19 anticholinergic burden scales

 Angela Lisibach<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>
 Giulia Gallucci<sup>1</sup>
 Valérie Benelli<sup>1</sup>
 Ramona Kälin<sup>1</sup>

 Sven Schulthes<sup>1</sup>
 Patrick E. Beeler<sup>5</sup>
 Chantal Csajka<sup>2,3,4</sup>
 Monika Lutters<sup>1,6</sup>

#### Inclusion criteria:

- Inpatients aged  $\geq 65$
- between 2015-2018
- Length of stay ≥ 48h

#### **Exclusion criteria:**

- · Delirium at admission
- Having been on the ICU > 24h

### Outcome Delirium:

- Positive Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) or ICD-10 Code F05.0, F05.1, F05.8 or F05.9
- 2. CAM **or** ICD-10 **or** daily mean of 3 points or more in the Delirium Observation Scale Score (DOSS)

#### 15



Multivariable analysis adjusted for age, sex, dementia, CRP and catheterization

Outcome Definition AAS (Ehrt, 2010) Delinum (CAM + ICD-10) ABC (Ancelin, 2006) Delirium (CAM + ICD-10 + DOSS). ACB (Boustani, 2008) ACL (Sittironarit, 2011) ADS (Carnahan, 2006) AEC (Bishara, 2017) AIS (Briet, 2017) ARS (Rudolph, 2008) ATS (Xu, 2016) BAADS (Nery, 2019) CABS (Cancelli, 2008) Chew (Chew, 2008) CI (Minzenberg, 2004) CrAS (Han, 2008) DRS (Hefner, 2015) DS (Duran, 2013) GABS (Kiesel, 2018) KABS (Jun, 2016) PI (Minzenberg, 2004) SCDL (Summers, 1978)-2 Odds ratio & 95% CI

### Anticholinergic burden & mortality?



BCDT

1. 1. mar 00.05

High antichelinergic burden at admission associated with inhospital mortality in older patients: A comparison of 19 different antichelinergic burden scales

TABLE 3 Mattendative regression using the binary approach for both extremes in hospital exertably and length of stay (LON)

Mor		ty criable regranda	105			
Scale	OE		Tip(3)	995-01	Lane -(2 Gr died (20)	11gh 25 (4 died (5))
AIK*	8.39	1.11-1.73	4.88	100-121	15,148 (778 (3.15))	1764 (12517-253)
AIX.	3.45	1.17-1.17	3.00	499-141	25/121014(2.293)	DREAM DUTCE
ACR <sup>®</sup>	1.55	1.35-1.68	1.81	140-145	II.01.5 (ANR (2.9%))	3979 (202 (545))
A15°	1.58	1.57-1.02	3.87	1.08-1.89	19,343 (307 (20%))	7749 (486 (3.25))
CARS	8.35	1.09-1.65	101	1.00-1.05	34820(779(3.15))	2272 (145 (6.953)
Gev?!	8.37	1.13-1.66	1.02	1.09-1.25	34234 (568 (3.253)	209-045-05703
ANS <sup>14</sup>	6.34	1.13-1.62	3.61	0.06-1.04	24,003 (748, (3.253)	2711 (145 (6.2%))
ARD) <sup>10</sup>	6.92	1.90-2.45	-101	807-125	254211014(0.25))	1271-007-07-05-05-20
ACL <sup>21</sup>	8.43	1.23-1.88	\$.22	1.09-1.15	34,879 (794 (3,3%))	301110109(54038
GM <sup>14</sup>	1.81	1.57-2.38	1.07	1.10-1.14	23,319 (879 (2.993)	MTRUDINGS. THE
ADW <sup>11</sup>	2.15	1.00-0.00	1.00	1.12-1.29	25319-1491 (2.993)	3179 (22217 2018)
NOR. <sup>24</sup>	3.45	2.58-3.57	1.17	1.09-1.14	25,798(1877(2.8%))	3002 (296 (7.1%))
P(*	8.32	1.05-1.64	3.00	4.97-141	36,959 (791 (3,253)	2017 (12213-743)
<1 <sup>n</sup>	6.31	1.07-1.09	1.00	436-142	25,096 (791 (5,210)	3004 (119)(2.91))
GARS"	1.51	131-134	1.00	1.06-1.00	10,250-(467 (2,050)	#506-(426-(5.#%))
060	1.06	1.62-2.14	1.11	1.09-1.13	HIGH02 (477 (21190)	1708-0406-01-01-01
BAADS <sup>IN</sup>	1.49	1.46-1.85	1.47	1.05-1.09	10,040 (473 (2.350)	8243(440(5.46))
KABS <sup>P</sup>	1.65	140-146	1.00	106-110	.11.110 (W/ (1993)	Win (Do (Len))
.878**	2.22	1.73-3.84	1.00	11/10-1.04	26,1171803 (3.193)	1015 (102 (10.00ED)
DROX <sup>TH</sup>	1.8.44	140-185	1.01	129-122	21,740 ( 948 ( 2.8%))	HARRY COLOR AND COLOR

And, The Mol minum validation AME, new primaries on the US right of advances samples of generation and preventing of experiance of the longitudination to each prime. Molecularity displays in adjusted in the gravity of the displays, requirementational limits, beinghough barghough bargh

happhalaston mp. Maryhelastan ACS, Markhellerge, Acroly Scale, HAC, Andelellinego, Bastin Cheellastine, ACS, Andelelinego, Capitinis Barlpo, Sole, NCL, Andelelinego: Lading Joak, ACS, Antolekiergis Prog Sole, ACC, Antoninisinger Historia Copitinis, ASS, Antolekierger Soles, ASS, Antolekierger Sole, CAY, Antolekierger Tocky Sole, ACC, Markhellinego, Antolekierger, Aranya Markhellinego, Carolina, Sale Atta Antolekierger Sole, CAY, Antolekierger Tocky Sole, NANN, Mutther Antolekierger, Aranya Markhellinego, Carolina, Sale Atta, Antolekierger, Sale, Sale, Atta, Antolekierger, Sale, Sale, Sale, Sale, Atta, Antolekierger, Sale, Sale,

17



### Managing delirium inducing medication

- $\Rightarrow$  Deprescribe all drugs without a clear indication
- $\Rightarrow$  Reduce dose
- $\Rightarrow$  Suggest possible alternatives:

Therapeutic class	Medication (examples)	Alternatives
Tricyclic antidepressants	amitryptilin clomipramine	<b>Depression:</b> SSRI, SNRI <b>Pain:</b> duloxetin, venlafaxin, pregabalin, gabapentin, patchs
First generation antihistamines	clemastine diphenhydramine doxylamine	Allergy: levocetirizine, fexofenadine, loratadine Sleep: herbs, mirtazapine,
Spasmolytic drugs	oxybutinin, solifenacin	trospium
Analgesics	tramadol, pethidin	many alternatives
Parkinson medication	biperiden, pramipexol	none => levodopa/carbidopa

10

## Delirium Prevention (NICE Guidelines)

- 1. stable care team of healthcare professionals, avoid moving people
- 2. identify patients at risk for delirium within 24 hours of admission

3. give a multicomponent tailored intervention provided by a multidisciplinary trained team

- providing appropriate lighting and clear signage; a clock (consider providing a 24-hour clock in critical care) and a calendar should also be easily visible to the person at risk
- talking to the person to reorientate them by explaining where they are, who they are, and what your role is
- introducing cognitively stimulating activities (for example, reminiscence)
- · facilitating regular visits from family and friends
- · address risk factors like infection, pain, dehydration, nutrition, constipation
- address immobility by encouraging people to walk or do exercise
- address sensory impairment by ensuring that hearing and visual aids are available and in good working order.
- · promote good sleep patterns and sleep hygiene
- · carry out a medication review for people taking multiple drugs

Recommendations | Delirium: prevention, diagnosis and manager Sht | Guidance | NICE

# Delirium Prevention (NICE Guidelines)

- 1. stable care team of healthcare professionals, avoid moving people
- 2. identify patient at risk for delirium within 24 hours of admission
- $\Rightarrow$  including anticholinergic burden scales
- $\Rightarrow$  AUTOMATICALLY via CIS
- 3. give a multicomponent tailored intervention provided by a multidisciplinary trained team
- providing appropriate lighting and clear signage; a clock (consider providing a 24-hour clock in critical care) and a calendar should also be easily visible to the person at risk
- talking to the person to reorientate them by explaining where they are, who they are, and what your role is
- introducing cognitively stimulating activities (for example, reminiscence)
- · facilitating regular visits from family and friends.
- · address risk factors like infection, pain, dehydration, nutrition, constipation
- · address immobility by encouraging people to walk or do exercise
- address sensory impairment by ensuring hearing and visual aids are available and in good working order.
- · promote good sleep patterns and sleep hygiene
- · carry out a medication review for people taking multiple drugs

Recommendations | Delirium: prevention, diagnosis and manager 20ht | Guidance | NICE











### Prediction model DELIKT

Transfer beiter	ß	or	Odds	95%	DELIKT	
variable	coefficient	SE	ratio	Lower	Upper	score
Intercept	-1.65	0.04				
Age >80 years	1.00	0.03	2.72	2.56	2.90	16
Medical Department	0.53	0.03	1.70	1.59	1.82	8
Dementia	2.31	0.06	10.03	8.98	11.23	36
Hemiplegia, Paraplegia	0.64	0.07	1.90	1.67	2.17	10
Catheterisation	0.66	0.04	1.94	1.81	2.07	10
Potassium <3.5 or 4.8< mmol/l	0.19	0.04	1.21	1.11	1.31	3
Creatinine ≥133 µmol/l	0.06	0.04	1.07	0.98	1.16	1
Polymedication >5 drugs	0.14	0.04	1.15	1.07	1.23	2
ACH burden with CrAS ≥3 points	0.76	0.04	2.14	1.97	2.34	12

Performance measures	Internal validation	External validation
AUC	0.792	0.795
Sensitivity	0.689	0.682
Specificity	0.731	0.730
05000000	DELIKT score	external validation
AUC	(	).794
Sensitivity	0.797 (cut-off at DELIK)	F Score of 20 points, YI 0.42)
Specificity	0.623 (cut-off at DELIK)	F Score of 20 points, YI 0.42
Brier score		0.083
Odds ratio at cut-off of 20 points	5.88 (95%	CI 5.17, 6.71)



2

### Prevention: Systematic Revue 2015

	Antipsy	chetics	Plan	ite		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Nok of Sizs
Study or Subgroup	Svents.	Total	Events	Teta	i Weigh	n M-H. Random, 955 D	I H-H, Random, 95% CI	ABCOLIC
Prakerrahase 2007, Reperators	1 7	61	20	67	1,17,5	8 0.25 (0.16, 0.77		
Larsen 2010, Otatizaçãos	- 28	195	- 42	2.04	4 28.0	8 0.36 [D.24, 0.82]		
wang 2012, Hotopercan in		- 523	1.11		1.1.1	<ol> <li>mos to 45, o sol</li> </ol>		
Harsveen 2005, Heldender	0.84		1.87	1.00		a and 10.58, 1.40		
Total (95% CI)		700	li Lana	211	100.0	0.54 (0.34, 0.87)	•	
Total events	102		191				5 S S	
Hereitagenerity Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.17, Chi Test for overlat effect $2 = 2.54$	F = 12.33, m (F = 0.05)	(+1P)	- 0.006y	1 = 7	68		Armpsocheites Pieceber	
100	intente apor	ents	Macaba	ê		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Rick of Stan
Study or Subgroop	Events.	Tetal i	venus 7	fatal .	Weight	M-H, Randore, #5% CF	M-H, Randaes, 95% Ci	ABCDEFG
Air-Alama 2011, Metatanto	7	61	1.0	\$1	71.5%	0.37 (0.17, 0.03)		
Hatta 2014, Ramebece	× .	3.8	3.1	34	26.58	0.09 (0.05, 0.49)		
Total (95% CD		94		95	100.05	0.25 (8.07, 0.44)	-	
Terai noverta	1.0		20					
instantsgenery, Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.41, Cb/ Test for overall effect $2 = 3.17$	(F = 0.03)	+1/F-	0.10, 7	+ 413	6	Metazamin	ооц о'ц і іб 100 песярыя адочної Рінсебо	
	Anti-dama		Haraba	Ş		Rick Ratio	Rink Ratio	Rick of Stat
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total E	vents 7	intal \	Anight	M-H. Random, 95% CI	HI-H, Random, 95% C	ABCDEFG
Cambersi 2009, Knastgrese	1.0	56	17.	52.5	57.6%	1.00 (0.62, 1.07)		0000000
Lipitary 2005, Devepend	- 0 -	29	7	41.0	20.9%	3.20 (0.48, 2.00)		*******
Marrantoea 2031, Dorepetin	8.5	. 6	- 2	- Æ. 1	13.6%	3.00 [8:32, 7:31]		
Stration 3015, Doveberal	Ζ.	13	-3	24	7.9%	0.2310.97, 1.50		
Total dish CD		120		110.1	100.0%	0.99 (0.65.1.50)	-	
Tonal events	21		. 22.				11 The second s second second sec	
Heterogenery $Tau^2 = 0.00$ , Cb: Test for overall effect. $E = 0.07$	7 = 2.82, st 7 = 9.941	-15-	0.435 F	× 01		Anti-chemeratura Lacter	105 (1) Sichelmexenzia mittinoro Placada	3.14
Rick of bias learned Ro Random sequence generates RI Allocation constations to loc (E) Blocking of participants and p (D) Blocking of participants and p	n Selection B toe black orscrinel (pe ort sdelection	inii Hormana Libiaci	e buit					

(b) incomplete outcome data (attribut his (F) Scientive reporting trepleting blac) (E) Other bias

# Melatonin & Ramelteon

(New York of a state o
Melatonin for delixium prevention in hospitalized patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis
Rep (Reining 7) Summarian (Print)

Patients with sleeping
disorders:
=> melatonin 2 - 6 mg
1 - 2 hours before sleeping

	Experimental		Cortesi			Rish Rotio	Wait Ralls
Study or Bultgroop	Evenes	Tobal	fivers	Total	Weigle	Birr, Randorn, SWI, D	Will, Rawdort, BEN CE
2.1.1 Machinel publication							1117 2 2 4 5 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1
Al-Saltio et al., 2011	110	1.01	1.16	10	0.0%	0.0730-07.4.4%	
Arrewet at it. 2018		40		44	6.4%	130 (0.77.8.82)	
Subtural (97% CE)		1.04		100	15.3%	0.00 (0.15. 5.31)	
Total events	16		- 25				
Hotengenety: Tayl + 1.4	4.09-1	01.18+	1.0-24	INT P	1.86%		
Test for orienti effect 2 -	0.14 (# + )	1800					
2.1.2 Surgical patients							
de Jongha et al., 2014	55	100	49	182	13.6%	1.10 (0.03. 1.01)	-
Field et al., 2028	21	- 14	21	194	11.8%	1.06 (0.62, 1.62)	+
Suben 2018	5	58	15	45	1.7%	0.29(0.11.0.73)	
Kaonavieri ol.al., 2010	4	10	22	70	7.0%	0.18 (0.07, 6.60)	
Gupte et al., 2018	2	- 50		50	4.0%	0.39 (0.07, 1.57)	
Valvaporti et al., 2018	0	22	1.14	- 22	1.3%	0.21 (0.01, 4.12)	
Subbenal (99% CE)		478		410	45.5%	0.81 (0.25, 1.03)	•
Tatal months			110				
Helengenets Tar = 0.4	8.04-2	33.00	- 510-0	001073	P = 17%		
Teel for seeine effect 2 -	1,82,97+1	1990					
213.09							
Varyahuman et al., 2016.	15	28	25	30	12.8%	0.60-35-40, 0.815	
Jamese at pt., 2018	10	58	22	50	12.1%	0.00 (0.52, 1.30)	
Number of al., 2018	. 11	48	30	43	10.6%	0.00(0.29.3.00)	
Atheni et al. 2018		. 10		05	22%	2.90 (0.01.27 82)	
Nacional et al. 2011	. 0	1.18	C 14		1.2%	0.30 (0.01, 7.64)	20
Bublinal (80% CE)		111		134	38.5%	0.06 (0.96 0.00)	•
Total events							
Heleropeneity Tau" = 0.0	0.0W = 3	+ 91.53	+(P=0.	et:P+	196		
Yest far consal effect 2 i	234.0***	10041					
Tasai (MPL III)		918		827	181.0%	0.05 (2.46, 0.50)	•
Total events	140		288				20 - 30 - CAL
Hotoriganisty TeV = 0.2	2.01/-10	100. m	12.00 -	0.0009	0.014.045	k:	
Test for occust effect 1 -	231 111	000					ANT AT 4
							A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

### **Treatment of Delirium**

	Atypical antipsychotics				Haloperidol			Std. Mean Difference			Std. Mean Differen	Risk of Bias		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	10	50	Total	Nean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random,	95% CI	IV, Random, 95%	CI ABCDEFG		
Grover 2011, Olanzapine	9.17	. B.	65	23	6.09	7.19	21	12.48	0.38[-0.22	0.98		1100000		
Grover 2011, Risperidone	B	7.	27	20	6.09	7.19	21	11.15	0.261-0.36	0.87		1100000		
Maneeton 2013, Quetapine	51	्र	9	74	8	6.7	28	36.53	-0.281-0.82	0.27]				
Total (95% C0				67			70	100.0%	0.101-0.30	0.51	-			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>1</sup> = 0.04; Ct	1+1.90	. d -	28-	0.240;	1 - 3	131					1 de 1	ter d		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.50$	(P = 0.6	51								AQ.	pical antipsychotics Halope	ridol		
	Rivastigmine			3	Placebo			Std. Nean Difference		È.	Std. Mean Difference	<b>Risk of Bias</b>		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	50	Total	Near	1 50	Tota	Wei	aht IV	Random, 95% 0	i i	IV, Random, 95% CI	ABCDEFG		
Overshott 2010, Rivestigmine	6.3	. 5.7	8	9.5	14.6	1	15	35 -1	0.31[-1.34, 0.7]	ij –				
ven Eijk 2010, Rivestignine (1)	5	8.52	54		6.15	50	84	.7%	0.27 (-0.12, 0.65	ġ.		0000000		
Total (95% CI)			62			57	100	JON .	0.18 (-0.23, 0.55	ŋ				
Heterogeneity Tau <sup>2</sup> + 0.01; Ch	F = 108	dt +	10-	0.30%	1 = 8	\$				+	1 1 1	7		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.84	P= 0.4	¢1								1	Rvastigmine Placebo			
Footnotes										8.68	of bus legend			
(1) SD = interquartile range/1.3	2									(A)	Random sequence generation	n iselection biasi		
										(B)	Allocation concelatment (selec	tion biasi		
										(0)	(C) Blinding of participants and personnel			
										(D)	Sinding of outcome assessm	ent (detection bias)		
										(E) (	ncomplete outcome data latt	trible blas)		
										(Fi )	selective reporting treparting	biasi		
										(G)	Other bias			

Kantonsspital Aarau





Kantonsspital Aarau



Quetiapine 12.5 - 25 mg B.I.D. (every 12 h), max. 100 mg/d

### Treatment according to symptoms:

- Mainly agitation (+/- hallucinations), M. Parkinson, Lewy Body Dementia: Quetiapine p.o. 12.5 25 mg B.I.D, max. 100 mg/d
- Mainly aggression: Risperidone p.o. 0.5 1 mg B.I.D., max. 2 mg/d
- Sedation required: Pipamperon p.o. 20 mg, 2-3 x /day
- Hallucinations: Haloperidol p.o. gtt. 0.5 -1 mg , 3-4 x /day, max. 4mg/d

### Take home messages



- Delirium is common and represents a high burden for patients, care givers and health care systems.
- Recognizing and predicting delirium is difficult.
- Delirium is a multifactorial syndrome caused by many risk factors including anticholinergic burden.
- Anticholinergic drug burden can be assessed by scales and is associated with an increased risk of delirium, cognitive and functional decline, falls and mortality.
- Prevention is important and should include multicomponant tailored interventions provided by a multidisciplinary team.
- Treatment options are limited and often off-label.
- If needed, antipsychotics could be used at low dose and with caution.